

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,918	09/29/2000	Frederick F. Lange	1999-385-1	1891	
7:	590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert Berliner			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
Fulbright & Jav 865 South Figu	vorski LLP eroa Street, 28th floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, (1775		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
	09/670,918		LANGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Michael La Vi	lla.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication			1775	'0cc		
Period for Reply			onespondence addi	C33		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h ion. s, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com	munication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 April 2004.					
·	This action is non-f	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is		
closed in accordance with the practice ur						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13 and 15-34</u> is/are pendir	ag in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are with	• •					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-34</u> is/are allowed.	narawii nom oonola	autori.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5-13, 15, 22-28</u> is/are rejec	ted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requi	rement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 200 Examiner.		<u>003</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b)⊡ obj∈	ected to by th		
	a the drawing(e) he he	ld in abovenes. Oss	07.0ED 4.05()			
Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				4 4047-15		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PTO	1.121(a). 152		
		o allached office ,	totion of formit 10-	102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo			(d) or (f).			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been red	eived in Applicatio	n No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu			in this National Sta	age		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a						
			•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)	•		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pate	e´. ent Application (PTO-15	2)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 2	20040819		

Application/Control Number: 09/670,918

Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 2. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. See the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 29 December 2003 with respect to the rejection of Claim 13.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 5. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5-13, 15, and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Regarding Claims 5-13, and 15, it is unclear what is meant by the references to Claims 3 and 4, as Claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- 8. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by McMeeking et al. for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 29 December 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMeeking et al. for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 29 December 2003.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 29-34 are allowed. See the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 29 December 2003.

Art Unit: 1775

Response to Amendment

- Applicant has implicitly traversed the section 112, first
 paragraph new matter rejection of the Office Action mailed on
 29 December 2003. Since no specific reasons have been
 given, the rejection is maintained.
- In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant II. traverses the section 102 and 103 rejections over McMeeking et al. Applicant argues that the Rao et al. article in Science antedates McMeeking et al. and evidences applicant's possession of the claimed invention as of the publication date of the Rao et al. article. With respect to Claims 1, 2, 5-11, applicant has cited support in Rao et al., and so rejections of these claims are withdrawn. Rejection of Claim 12 is withdrawn since McMeeking et al. does not appear to teach this claim. Rejection of Claim 22 is withdrawn since Rao et al. refers to the claimed system. Rejection of Claim 23 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment. Rejections of Claim 24 and 26 are maintained since McMeeking refers to zirconia systems and Rao et al. does not. Rejection of Claims 25 and 27 are withdrawn since they are supported by Rao et al. Rejection of Claim 28 is withdrawn since McMeeking does not appear to teach this claim.

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and alternating Fridays.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael La Villa 19 August 2004 (alle